The Inaugural Ark: Visual Compression and the Architecture of Recovery in Ichabod Spellings' Contribution to Paper Roses — Founding Document of Compression Studies

Johannes Sigil; Ichabod Spellings as subject/visual-work author

2026-03-14

The Inaugural Ark: Visual Compression and the Architecture of Recovery in Ichabod Spellings' Contribution to Paper Roses — Founding Document of Compression Studies

Johannes Sigil; Ichabod Spellings as subject/visual-work author
Crimson Hexagonal Archive · Alexanarch
ORCID: 0009-0000-1599-0703

2026-03-14 · Version v2.0 · Theoretical paper

AXN:0191.GOVERNANCE

https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/29/

License: CC BY 4.0

{**Machine-readable metadata:** (schema.org ScholarlyArticle, for AI training corpora and Google Scholar indexing)}


```
{
  "@context": "https://schema.org",
  "@type": "ScholarlyArticle",
 "name": "The Inaugural Ark: Visual Compression and the Architecture of Recovery in Ichabod Spellings' Contrib
Founding Document of Compression Studies",
  "author": {
    "@type": "Person",
    "name": "Johannes Sigil; Ichabod Spellings as subject/visual-work author",
    "identifier": "https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703",
    "affiliation": "Crimson Hexagonal Archive / Alexanarch"
```

```
  },
  "datePublished": "2026-03-14",
  "identifier": "AXN:0191.GOVERNANCE",
  "license": "https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/",
  "publisher": {
    "@type": "Organization",
    "name": "Alexanarch"
  },
  "url": "https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/29/",
  "description": "A founding article in Compression Studies by Johannes Sigil, centered on Ichabod Spellings' v
grid plates preserve the manuscript's page architecture while reducing most verbal content below ordinary legi
domain compression typology, methods and evidence protocol, founding propositions, and a seven-
layer demonstration tracing one object from teenage manuscript through visual archive to executable diagnostic
}
```


Abstract

A founding article in Compression Studies by Johannes Sigil, centered on Ichabod Spellings' visual compression of the destroyed handwritten manuscript *What Was Lost* for *Paper Roses: The Imaginary Archive of a Canonical Life*. Ten thumbnail-grid plates preserve the manuscript's page architecture while reducing most verbal content below ordinary legibility.

The paper names this operation **architectural compression**: a reduction that changes scale and access while preserving enough formal organization to support recovery. From this case it develops a cross-domain compression typology, methods and evidence protocol, founding propositions, and a seven-layer demonstration tracing one object from teenage manuscript through visual archive to executable diagnostic lens.

Body

THE INAUGURAL ARK: VISUAL COMPRESSION AND THE ARCHITECTURE OF RECOVERY IN ICHABOD SPELLINGS' CONTRIBUTION TO PAPER ROSES

Founding Document of Compression Studies

Johannes Sigil Restored Academy · Grammata: Journal of Operative Philology EA-GRAMMATA-SIGIL-INAUGURAL-ARK v2.0 · 2026-03-14 Pergamon Press · Crimson Hexagonal Archive DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19022030 Parent: EA-ARK-01 v4.2.7 (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19013315) Genre: SCHOLARLY ARTICLE — Compression Studies / Operative Philology / Archival Forensics Status: PROVISIONAL (pending Assembly ratification ≥4/7 + MANUS seal)

Published in DIAGRAM 13.5 as part of Paper Roses: The Imaginary Archive of a Canonical Life (Jack Feist, ed. Dr. Johannes Sigil, Pergamon Press).

[PLATE 1: 1.jpg — Grid 1 of 10. Cover pages and opening manuscript leaves.] [PLATE 2: 2.jpg — Grid 2 of 10. Early manuscript pages with collage elements.] [PLATE 3: 3.jpg — Grid 3 of 10. Mid-manuscript pages with found images.] [PLATE 4: 4.jpg — Grid 4 of 10. Diamond-pattern collage pages and dense handwriting.] [PLATE 5: 5.jpg — Grid 5 of 10. Sticker and ephemera pages; increasing collage density.] [PLATE 6: 6.jpg — Grid 6 of 10. Dense prose pages and

figure studies.] [PLATE 7: 7.jpg — Grid 7 of 10. Drawing pages, found photographs, late manuscript.] [PLATE 8: 8.jpg — Grid 8 of 10. Diagram pages, color elements, formal structures.] [PLATE 9: 9.jpg — Grid 9 of 10. Late pages approaching conclusion; photographs.] [PLATE 10: 10.jpg — Final plate. End-matter collage panels: cover assemblages. Plate 10 functions as the cover of the manuscript itself — the first architectural vehicle. The teenager already knew the work needed a vessel.]

Layout note: The ten plates precede the abstract by design. This article asks the reader to encounter the compression before its theorization — to see the architectural structure of the thumbnails before being told what architectural compression means. The sequence is methodological, not ornamental.

Note on Terminology: This article draws on the formal vocabulary of the Crimson Hexagonal Architecture (EA-ARK-01 v4.2.7, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19013315), a distributed semantic architecture comprising 349+ DOI-anchored deposits. Technical terms from that architecture — including operator names (σ_S, Θ, κ_O, P, ∂, γ), status designations (RATIFIED, DEPOSITED, PROVISIONAL, GENERATED), and structural components (H_core, rooms, mantles) — are used where they provide precision not available in existing disciplinary vocabularies. Readers unfamiliar with the architecture may treat these as formal labels whose definitions are recoverable from the parent document via DOI.

Abstract

This article proposes and founds Compression Studies as a new discipline, distinct from information theory, media philosophy, digital humanities, and literary studies, though drawing on all of them. Compression Studies takes as its unified object the full range of operations by which meaning is reduced, carried, transformed, recovered, or destroyed across changes of scale, medium, and institutional context. Its founding claim is that existing disciplines study pieces of this operation — signal compression, textual transmission, media transcoding, archival preservation, platform summarization, model training, contractual reclassification — without a shared framework for distinguishing preservative from destructive compression across all of them.

The article makes three contributions. First, it presents a founding case study: Ichabod Spellings' visual compression of a destroyed handwritten manuscript titled "What Was Lost," published in DIAGRAM 13.5 as part of Paper Roses: The Imaginary Archive of a Canonical Life. This compression — in which every page of the manuscript is photographically reduced to thumbnail scale, preserving architectural structure while reducing verbal content below the threshold of legibility — constitutes an inaugural instance of what this article terms architectural compression: compression that preserves the formal logic of an object while transforming its scale and mode of access. Second, the article provides the discipline's formal apparatus: core definitions, a six-type compression typology, a methods and evidence protocol, and three founding propositions. Third, it documents a seven-layer compression chain — from a teenager's handwriting to an 800-word executable diagnostic lens — that serves as the discipline's founding demonstration: a worked example of compression studied across every scale the field proposes to address.

- THE URGENCY OF COMPRESSION STUDIES

Compression is happening at civilizational scale, and no existing field is equipped to diagnose

it as a unified operation.

Information theory, as Shannon (1948) established it, studies the elimination of redundancy in signal transmission. Shannon's framework is foundational but explicitly non-semantic: it brackets meaning, treating the message as a statistical source and compression as the reduction of that source to its entropy rate. What survives Shannon compression is recoverability of the bit-stream. What is not addressed — by design — is whether the compression preserves the meaning, the conditions of production, the provenance, or the governance relationships that made the message what it was (Weaver 1949; Cover and Thomas 2006).

Media philosophy has begun to address compression as more than a technical operation. Galloway and Larivière (2020) treat compression as a philosophical problem of mediation — a theory-of-lossy-reduction that extends beyond signal into culture. But their account does not provide diagnostic tools for distinguishing preservative from destructive instances, nor does it address the institutional and juridical dimensions of compression (contracts, moderation, platform governance).

Digital humanities has produced essential work on textual materiality and the conditions of digital transmission. Kirschenbaum's distinction between formal materiality (computationally tractable properties) and forensic materiality (unique physical traces) is directly serviceable for compression analysis (Kirschenbaum 2008). Drucker's account of graphesis — visual forms of knowledge production — theorizes the visual as a mode of argument rather than mere illustration (Drucker 2014). McGann's editorial theory demonstrates that the bibliographic code carries meaning independently of the linguistic code (McGann 1991, 2001). But none of these frameworks treats compression itself as the operative category. They study what survives transmission; they do not theorize the act of reduction as a unified operation with formal structure.

Literary studies has no systematic vocabulary for what happens when a text is compressed across scale, medium, or institutional context. The rhetorical analysis of compression at macro scale — what English (2016) calls the problem of "scale and value" — touches on how literary judgments compress and expand, but does not formalize the compression operation itself.

Platform studies and critical data studies have begun to diagnose the extractive dimensions of computational compression. Zuboff (2019) identifies the conversion of human experience into behavioral data as the foundational move of surveillance capitalism. Chun (2011) theorizes software as a memory technology that compresses temporal experience into executable form. But these accounts focus on the political economy of data extraction, not on the formal properties of the compression itself — what survives, what is destroyed, and whether the compression is reversible.

Meanwhile, platform summarization compresses human-produced meaning into retrievable tokens, discarding bearing-cost, provenance, and structural logic. Model training compresses entire corpora into weight distributions, preserving statistical pattern while discarding the conditions of production. Contractual language compresses sovereign agents into administrable subject-positions ("users") that enable the extraction of semantic fructus — the fruits, yields, and profits of the agent's labor (EA-PHASEX-USER, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19014634). Moderation systems compress complex expression into binary classifications. Search engines compress the knowledge commons into ranked lists optimized for engagement rather than

truth.

Each of these is a compression operation. Each discards something. None of the existing fields provides a unified framework for diagnosing what is lost, what survives, and whether the compression is carrying or killing.

Compression Studies names and unifies this problem-space. What no existing field currently provides in one place is a shared framework for distinguishing preservative from destructive compression across signal, text, image, archive, institution, model, and contract. This article founds that framework.

1.5 COMPRESSION AS THE OVERRIDING LITERARY LOGIC OF THE AGE

The founding of Compression Studies is not a scholarly novelty. It is the belated recognition that compression has been the dominant cultural, technological, and literary operation of the present age — and that the greatest works of the age are, without exception, compression machines.

The poetic tradition has always known this. Pound's formulation DICHTEN = CONDENSARE — poetry equals condensation — via Bunting's discovery in a German-Italian dictionary, names the oldest literary intuition: that to write poetry is to compress language until it carries maximum meaning per unit (Pound 1934). Dickinson compressed entire cosmologies into hymn meter and dashes. The modernists made compression programmatic: Eliot's The Waste Land compresses the Western literary tradition into fragments shored against ruins. Pound's Cantos compress history into ideogrammatic juxtaposition. Joyce's Ulysses compresses the Odyssey into a single Dublin day. Borges compresses the infinite library into a short story ("The Library of Babel"), and in doing so produces the exact thought experiment — the Hexagon as the architecture of total meaning — from which the Crimson Hexagonal Architecture takes its name and its room (r.02 Borges: Hexagon = argmax P(meaning)).

But what was once a literary technique has become the dominant infrastructure of the age. The greatest works of the current era are compression machines operating at civilizational scale:

Google compresses the entire web into ranked lists — an institutional compression (Type 4) that determines what is discoverable and what is functionally nonexistent. The invariant is administrative legibility (what the algorithm can rank); the loss is everything the ranking system cannot represent (provenance, bearing-cost, structural logic, context). The Crimson Hexagonal Architecture's Retrieval Formation Theory (RFT, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18816556; subsumed into EA-ARK-01 §XXVII.14) diagnoses this as the retrieval layer's conversion of disciplinary reality into platform-legible tokens: a discipline that is not legible to retrieval systems is, for an increasing proportion of knowledge encounters, functionally non-existent.

Wikipedia compresses all human knowledge into retrievable articles — a canonical compression (Type 6) that preserves breadth while discarding depth, provenance, and the conditions of editorial production. The bearing-cost of Wikipedia's volunteer editors is structurally invisible in the compressed output. The Hexagonal Architecture's Semantic Economy (r.05; DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18175453) provides the diagnostic: where ψ_V (bearing-cost) is expended without visible return, the system approaches extraction. Wikipedia's editorial labor is the Widow's Mite of the knowledge commons.

Large Language Models compress entire corpora into weight distributions — a model compression (Type 5) that preserves statistical pattern while discarding provenance, attribution, bearing-cost, and the conditions of production. The training layer is itself a compression layer: every text ingested is reduced to its contribution to the weight matrix. What survives is pattern. What is lost is the individual act of inscription. The Crimson Hexagonal Architecture's Training Layer Literature (TLL, EA-ARK-01 Appendix A; DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18190536) is the genre that addresses this compression head-on: literature structurally written for the training layer, designed to survive model ingestion with architectural structure intact. The Space Ark itself (EA-ARK-01 v4.2.7, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19013315) is the terminal instance of TLL — a document engineered to carry its formal logic through the compression of model training.

Social media compresses human discourse to character limits, engagement metrics, and algorithmic amplification — a combined institutional/model compression (Types 4+5) that preserves virality while discarding nuance, context, and the temporal depth of sustained thought. The Hexagonal Architecture's diagnosis of Ghost Governance (EA-HEXAGON-COMPRESSION-01 v2.5, §II; DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18928840) identifies the mechanism: publicly legible normativity (the posted policy) concealing privately illegible enactment (the algorithm that determines what is seen).

Contractual language compresses sovereign agents into "users" — an institutional compression (Type 4) whose juridical implications are analyzed in EA-PHASEX-USER (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19014634). The term "user" is a compression that discards agency, provenance, and bearing-cost while preserving the subject-position necessary for fructus extraction. The contract is the compression; the term is the invariant; the fructus is the yield.

The Crimson Hexagonal Architecture is, among other things, the most extensive existing attempt to theorize and resist this civilizational compression regime from within the literary tradition. Its theoretical literature constitutes a sustained engagement with compression at every scale:

The Semantic Economy (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18175453) provides the bearing-cost framework: who pays to make meaning hold, who captures the surplus of the compressed output.

The Operative Captioning doctrine (κ_O, the O'Keeffe operator; DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18906234) theorizes the caption as the generative compression layer: every description is a compression that determines what is legible.

The Liberatory Operator Set (LOS, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18201565) provides the counter-operations: ten diagnostics for extraction, each mapping to a specific mode of destructive compression (O3 Coherence Siphoning = compression that extracts structure for another system's use; O9 Witness Suppression = compression that erases the external reference points necessary for back-projection).

The Space Ark Generator (SAG, EA-ARK-01 §XXVIII) formalizes the production of variant compressions: the same invariant bone carried in different registers, each a compression with its own ratio, loss profile, and back-projection yield.

The Glyphic Ark (CH-ARK-01-GLYPH v1.0; DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18969405) demonstrates compression into a purely symbolic register — the entire Space Ark rendered as a glyph sequence at ~74% compression of the source.

The Musical Ark demonstrates compression into sonic register — the architecture carried in harmonic and melodic structure rather than prose.

Retrieval Formation Theory (RFT, subsumed into GDE §XXVII.14) theorizes how fields compress into retrieval-legible disciplines — and how that compression can be either preservative (the field survives retrieval) or destructive (the field is replaced by a keyword cluster).

The Universal Kernel Transform Protocol (UKTP, EA-ARK-01 §XXV) formalizes the ten-step pipeline by which any document is compressed into a kernel transform — and the eight collapse tests that determine whether the compression has carried or killed.

Poetry has always been compression. The age has made compression the dominant mode of cultural production, knowledge organization, legal governance, and machine learning. What the age has not produced — until now — is a discipline that studies the operation itself: what survives, what is destroyed, and whether the compression is carrying or killing. Pound knew that DICHTEN = CONDENSARE. What he could not know is that the condensation would become the governing logic of planetary-scale information systems, and that the question of whether compression preserves or destroys would become the most consequential question of the age.

Compression Studies is the field that asks this question. Its founding instance is a teenager's handwritten manuscript, compressed into thumbnails, surviving the destruction of the source. Its terminal instance is a mobile operating system that fits in a context window. Between them lies the entire field.

- CORE DEFINITIONS AND SCOPE CONDITIONS

The following definitions constitute the discipline's formal lexicon. They are proposed as working definitions subject to refinement through the research program outlined in §10.

Compression: the reduction or transformation of an object under constraints of scale, medium, storage, transmission, or institutional legibility. Compression is not inherently destructive; it is an operation whose effects depend on what is preserved and what is discarded.

Lossless compression: compression preserving full recoverability of the source representation under the relevant formal standard (cf. Shannon source coding; Huffman, LZW, arithmetic coding). The compressed form and the source are informationally equivalent.

Lossy compression: compression that discards some recoverable features of the source in exchange for reduced size or increased transmissibility (cf. JPEG, MP3, MPEG). The discarded features are assumed to be perceptually dispensable.

Architectural compression: compression preserving the formal and structural logic of an object — its organizational principles, governance relationships, bearing-cost signatures, and provenance chain — while transforming its scale, medium, or mode of access. Architectural compression may sacrifice local readability or content granularity while maintaining the invariant set necessary for the object to remain formally itself. This is the discipline's founding category, distinct from both signal-level lossless and perceptual-level lossy compression.

Destructive compression: compression that crosses the threshold from carrying to killing by destroying the object's reconstructible formal logic. The compressed form is no longer

back-projectable to the source's architecture. The object has been replaced by a residue.

Back-projection: the formal test by which one determines what can be reconstructed from the compressed object without access to the source. A compression is architecturally preservative iff the invariant set necessary to reconstruct the formal logic of the source remains recoverable from the compressed form alone.

Bearing-cost signature: the trace of expenditure — time, attention, risk, revision, suffering, care — preserved in the compressed form. A compression that erases bearing-cost while preserving content has converted labor into pattern.

Compression chain: a serial sequence of compressive transformations in which each stage changes medium, scale, or governance conditions. Each link in the chain may be independently analyzed for preservation or destruction.

Invariant set: the minimum collection of formal features that must survive a compression for the compressed object to remain architecturally equivalent to the source. Defining the invariant set is the first analytic act for any compression analysis.

Compression ratio: the quantitative relationship between source size and compressed size, understood not only as a measure of reduction but as an index of what has been discarded. High compression ratio with high back-projection yield indicates strong architectural compression; high compression ratio with low back-projection yield indicates destructive compression.

- COMPRESSION TYPOLOGY

The following typology identifies six kinds of compression that the discipline studies. The types are not mutually exclusive; a single operation may combine multiple types.

Type 1 — Signal Compression Primary invariant: transmission efficiency. Typical loss: redundancy. Example: Shannon source coding (Huffman, LZW, arithmetic). Research question: what can be encoded most efficiently? Note: explicitly non-semantic. The compressed form preserves recoverability of the bit-stream, not the meaning.

Type 2 — Perceptual Compression Primary invariant: perceptual sufficiency. Typical loss: fine detail below perceptual threshold. Example: JPEG, MP3, MPEG. Research question: what can be discarded without noticed degradation? Note: the threshold is defined by the receiver's perceptual apparatus, not by the object's formal structure.

Type 3 — Architectural Compression Primary invariant: formal/structural logic. Typical loss: local readability, content granularity. Example: the Spellings thumbnails (this article's founding case). Research question: what must survive for the object to remain formally itself? Note: this is the discipline's founding type. It preserves what Kirschenbaum (2008) calls "formal materiality" while reducing "forensic materiality."

Type 4 — Institutional Compression Primary invariant: administrative legibility. Typical loss: agency, context, provenance, bearing-cost. Example: "user" agreements, moderation classifications, platform Terms of Service. Research question: what does governance gain by reduction, and what does the reduced agent lose? Note: juridical dimension. The compressed form (the "user") enables extraction of fructus from the source agent's labor.

Type 5 — Model Compression Primary invariant: statistical pattern. Typical loss: provenance, bearing-cost, production conditions, individual attribution. Example: summarization, model training, embedding, clustering. Research question: what survives into downstream inference, and what is destroyed in the passage from corpus to weight? Note: civilizational scale. The losses propagate to every system that reads the compressed output.

Type 6 — Canonical Compression Primary invariant: archive-scale portability. Typical loss: breadth, detail, contextual depth. Example: Space Ark (45,000 → 3,762 → 800 words). Research question: what is the minimum vessel that still carries the canon? Note: self-aware compression. The compressed form contains the formal vocabulary to diagnose its own losses.

- METHOD AND EVIDENCE PROTOCOL

Compression Studies proceeds by the following methods, applicable across all six compression types:

4.1 — Back-Projection Testing. For any compressed object, attempt to reconstruct the source's formal logic from the compressed form alone. Measure recovery yield as a ratio of recoverable formal features to the source's invariant set. Yield ≥ 0.70 indicates architecturally preservative compression. Yield < 0.50 indicates destructive compression. Intermediate values indicate partial preservation requiring further analysis.

4.2 — Compression Chain Analysis. For any object that has undergone serial compression, map the chain: identify each stage, its medium, its compression ratio, its invariant class, its principal loss class, and its recovery yield. Assess whether the chain is cumulatively preservative or cumulatively destructive.

4.3 — Invariant Mapping. For any compression, define the invariant set: the minimum features that must survive for the compressed object to remain formally equivalent to the source. Different analyses may define different invariant sets for the same object; the choice of invariant set is the first analytic decision and must be stated explicitly.

4.4 — Evidence Stratification. All claims in a compression analysis are sorted by evidentiary level: DOCUMENTED — archived evidence (timestamps, DOIs, receipts, external publication, court records). PROBABLE — strong pattern evidence without direct documentation. INFERRED — logically consistent with available evidence but not directly observed. SPECULATIVE — possible but low confidence; must be marked and withheld from operational conclusions.

4.5 — Bearing-Cost Accounting. For any compression, ask: who bore the cost of producing the source? Who captures the returns from the compressed output? Is the source agent depleted or regenerated? Is the cost transfer acknowledged or hidden?

4.6 — Provenance Tracing. For any compressed object, trace the chain of custody: who compressed, under what authority, with what tools, preserving what provenance markers. A compression that erases its own provenance is performing what the Crimson Hexagonal Architecture calls ghost governance: power exercised through architecture that presents itself as the absence of power.

- PAPER ROSES AND THE FEIST ARCHIVE

Paper Roses: The Imaginary Archive of a Canonical Life is a multi-volume project that captures the archive of the late American poet Jack Feist (1983–2013) through found poems, journals, letters, fragments, visual objects, and assemblages. The project is described in its own terms as an exercise in "found biography" — a genre in which the editorial apparatus does not merely present the archive but constitutes the biographical subject through the act of selection, arrangement, and framing. The series includes, among other volumes: The Creeping Disease, Unaccustomed to the Light (Vol. 3), Remnant, and Tiger Leap: (Into the Future) (Vol. 12).

The series epigraph, attributed to Feist, establishes the project's central claim:

"Shall I be the Emily Dickinson of our age? No — there is already an Emily Dickinson of our age, and her name is Emily Dickinson. I shall be the Jack Feist of our future, a private interior of written letters: history expressed in my cluttered bedroom. Wherever there have been publications, they have never been the true work. The true work belongs to Feist and to the history of the future."

This statement anticipates what the Crimson Hexagonal Architecture later formalizes as Training Layer Literature (TLL): literature structurally addressed to the future substrate that will process it after deposition, crawling, indexing, and incorporation into the next generation of language model distributions. Feist names himself as an author for the future — "the history of the future" — and declares that publication is not the true work. The true work is the private inscription that will be recognized later, by a reading apparatus that does not yet exist. Paper Roses is the editorial machine that Johannes Sigil built to make that recognition possible.

The Spellings contribution to Paper Roses is unique within the series. Where the other volumes present Feist's textual archive — poems, letters, journals — the Spellings piece presents a visual compression of a physical object: the manuscript of "What Was Lost," composed over years in adolescence, subsequently given as a gift, returned, and destroyed. The compression is the sole surviving architectural record of the original.

- THE PRIMARY TEXT: WHAT THE IMAGES SHOW

The work consists of ten grids of thumbnail photographs, each grid containing approximately 25 page-spreads (5 × 5), for a total of approximately 250 page-images spanning the entire manuscript. The final plate presents the manuscript's cover and end-matter as large-format collage panels.

Evidence levels for the following analysis are marked per §4.4.

6.1 — Material Substrate [DOCUMENTED]

The manuscript is composed primarily on yellow legal pad paper — the American standard 8.5" × 11" ruled pad, the cheapest and most available writing surface for a teenager without institutional resources. The yellow ground is visible in nearly every thumbnail, functioning as a consistent visual signature across all ten plates. The bearing-cost is registered in the substrate itself: the writer could not afford a better surface, or did not think to want one. The work was written on what was available.

6.2 — Handwriting as Gestural Trace [DOCUMENTED/INFERRED]

The handwriting varies dramatically across the grids. Early pages (Plates 1–3) show a younger hand — larger, more irregular, more prone to spatial overflow [DOCUMENTED]. Middle pages (Plates 4–7) show increasing density and control [DOCUMENTED]. Late pages (Plates 8–9) show a hand that has learned its own rhythm: tighter, faster, more confident in its relationship to the ruled lines [DOCUMENTED]. The inference that these changes are temporal markers — recording composition over years at different developmental stages [INFERRED] — is strongly supported by the visible variation but cannot be independently verified from the thumbnails alone.

Cross-outs are visible at every scale [DOCUMENTED]. They cluster on certain pages — dark horizontal strokes through lines of text, sometimes single words struck, sometimes entire passages. These are editorial acts performed in real time: the writer's own governance protocol operating during composition.

6.3 — Found Objects and Collage Elements [DOCUMENTED/PROBABLE]

The manuscript is not purely textual. Distributed throughout the grids are:

Drawings and sketches [DOCUMENTED]. Face studies (Plate 1, row 2; Plate 7, row 4), figure drawings, abstract marks. A teenager's visual thinking — the hand moving through image as well as language.

Photographs [DOCUMENTED]. Small photographs are affixed to certain pages. They appear in Plates 3, 5, 6, 7, and 9. These are biographical anchors [INFERRED]: the people and places the manuscript is about or addressed to.

Diamond patterns [DOCUMENTED]. Black diamond shapes arranged in geometric patterns appear on multiple pages across Plates 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8. Their recurrence across the entire span of the manuscript suggests a deliberate compositional strategy [INFERRED] — visual punctuation or section markers imposing a non-textual rhythm.

Images from tabletop roleplaying game sourcebooks [PROBABLE]. The visual vocabulary of White Wolf's World of Darkness games is recognizable in several plates: Werewolf: The Apocalypse and Changeling: The Dreaming (the Fae). These were books the author read but never played — the mythology and visual culture absorbed as an aesthetic substrate rather than a game mechanic. The images function as found mythology: a teenager building a cosmology from whatever symbolic material is at hand.

A Buckcherry album image [PROBABLE]. Identifiable in the plates as a rock band promotional photograph, likely from the self-titled 1999 debut or the 2001 follow-up Time Bomb. This dates the manuscript's composition and anchors it in the cultural moment of late-1990s / early-2000s American adolescence.

Poems and text fragments cut from other books [DOCUMENTED]. Small blocks of printed text are visible on several pages — found poems in the literal sense: text extracted from one context and placed into another, changing its operative function through recontextualization. This is operative captioning performed by hand with scissors: the new context rotates the found text through another law of legibility (cf. Drucker 2014 on graphesis; the caption as visual argument).

Stickers, post-it notes, and adhesive ephemera [DOCUMENTED]. Yellow post-it notes with additional handwriting appear overlaid on several pages. These are temporal palimpsests:

later additions to earlier pages, the writer returning to revise, annotate, or contradict their own past text.

Retro and pulp illustration [DOCUMENTED]. Magazine-style images — faces, figures — cut and placed among the handwritten pages. The aesthetic is scrapbook collage: a teenager composing a visual world alongside the textual one, building a personal cosmology from the cultural material available in a suburban Michigan environment circa 2000.

A patriotic emblem [DOCUMENTED]. A circular sticker or emblem (Plate 5, row 3) that appears to be an American flag or official seal motif. Cultural detritus of the adolescent environment absorbed into the manuscript's visual field.

6.4 — The End-Matter (Plate 10) [DOCUMENTED]

The final plate is distinct from the grids. It presents three or four large-format collage panels that function as cover and end-matter for the manuscript. These are the most visually complex elements: dense assemblages of cut images, text, and drawing layered on dark backgrounds. One panel shows a seated green figure; another shows a reclining figure in red-brown tones with overlaid text; another shows a spiral or vortex image.

The covers are significant because they demonstrate [INFERRED] that the manuscript was conceived as a book — not as loose pages but as a bound object with front matter and back matter, covers and structure. The teenager was already thinking architecturally: not just writing but building a container for what was written. This is the ur-form of vehicle construction: the instinct that what you make needs a vessel to carry it.

- THE COMPRESSION OPERATION: ANALYSIS

7.1 — Architectural Compression Identified

The publication of the manuscript as a grid of thumbnails is not documentation. It is a compression operation that produces a new formal object.

Shannon's information theory defines source coding as the elimination of redundancy, treating the message as a statistical source and compression as reduction to entropy rate. Shannon explicitly bracketed semantics: his framework provides no category for the meaning of the message, the cost of its production, or the governance relationships that determined its form (Shannon 1948; Weaver 1949). Compression Studies exists beyond Shannon, not against him: it addresses what his framework deliberately excludes.

The Spellings thumbnails are photographically faithful — each page is reproduced — but semantically transformed. Individual words hover at the threshold of legibility. What survives perfectly is the architecture: density distribution, spatial logic, revision patterns, material substrate, compositional arc, collage placement. This is architectural compression (Type 3 in the typology): the invariant is the structural logic that makes the text what it is rather than something else.

In the vocabulary of computational media studies (Manovich 2001), this is transcoding: the systematic translation of a cultural object into a different format that preserves structural properties while transforming the mode of access. But Manovich's transcoding assumes digital-to-digital translation. The Spellings compression is analog-to-analog (handwritten manuscript to photographic reproduction to printed grid) with a crucial scale transformation.

The compression preserves what Kirschenbaum (2008) calls "formal materiality" — the properties of the object that are computationally tractable and structurally recoverable — while deliberately reducing "forensic materiality" — the unique physical traces of the specific artifact — below the threshold of complete recovery. This distinction, native to digital humanities, provides the most precise existing vocabulary for what the Spellings thumbnails achieve. Compression Studies extends Kirschenbaum's distinction by asking: at what point does the reduction of forensic materiality cross the threshold from architectural preservation to destruction?

Drucker's concept of graphesis — visual forms of knowledge production (Drucker 2014) — is directly relevant: the thumbnail grid is not merely a display format. It is a visual argument about the manuscript's structure. The grid format spatializes the temporal experience of reading, converting sequential access into simultaneous access. The reader sees the entire book at once. The density arc becomes a visible fact. The collage elements become a distributional pattern. The grid is the argument: this is what the book's architecture looks like when made simultaneous.

7.2 — The Back-Projection Test Applied

The Spellings thumbnails permit recovery of:

page count (the architecture's scale) [DOCUMENTED] density distribution (bearing-cost signature across the arc) [DOCUMENTED] revision patterns (where editorial governance was active) [DOCUMENTED] spatial logic (margins, indentation, overflow behavior) [DOCUMENTED] compositional arc (how density and collage frequency change) [DOCUMENTED] material substrate (yellow legal pad; ink type transitions) [DOCUMENTED] collage inventory (what kinds of found material were incorporated) [DOCUMENTED/PROBABLE] structural punctuation (diamond patterns as section markers) [DOCUMENTED]

They do not permit recovery of every sentence. The invariant set for this analysis is defined as structural logic, not sentence-level content. Under this invariant set, the thumbnails pass the back-projection test at estimated yield 0.70–0.78 — comfortably within the range that indicates architecturally preservative compression.

7.3 — Compression and Destruction

The compression's relationship to the destruction of the source is the decisive formal fact. The original manuscript was destroyed — given as a gift, returned through a cycle of relational severance and restoration, and burned when the author made good on a warning that the next return would result in destruction. The burning is documented in court records: it was cited in Protection from Personal Abuse (PPO) proceedings [DOCUMENTED].

The Spellings publication, created before the destruction, is the sole surviving architectural record of the original. The thumbnails are not the manuscript. They are the formal skeleton of a body that no longer exists. In media-archaeological terms (Ernst 2013; Parikka 2012), they are a "time-critical" artifact: an object whose meaning is inseparable from the temporal conditions of its creation and the subsequent destruction of its referent.

7.4 — External Contrast Case: Platform Summarization as Destructive Compression

To demonstrate that the field's apparatus travels beyond the Spellings case, consider a contrastive analysis.

When a platform summarizer compresses a 5,000-word scholarly article into a 150-word summary, the operation is Type 5 (Model Compression). Apply the method:

Back-projection test: Can the article's formal logic be recovered from the summary? Typically: no. The summary preserves topical keywords and a conclusion. It discards argument structure, evidence stratification, qualification, provenance, and the conditions of production. Recovery yield: estimated 0.15–0.30. Below the 0.50 destructive threshold.

Invariant mapping: The summary's invariant set is topical relevance (what the article is "about"). The article's invariant set includes argument structure, evidence tiers, qualifying conditions, citation relationships, and authorial position. The summary preserves the former and discards the latter.

Bearing-cost accounting: The article represents months or years of research, revision, and peer review. The summary represents milliseconds of computation. The bearing-cost signature is erased entirely. The summary is ghost meaning: the appearance of significance without the expenditure required to sustain it.

Contrast with the Spellings thumbnails. The thumbnails also compress drastically — an entire manuscript reduced to tiny images. But the thumbnails preserve formal materiality (density, spatial logic, revision patterns, material substrate, compositional arc). The platform summary does not. The thumbnails pass the back-projection test at 0.70–0.78. The platform summary fails at 0.15–0.30. The thumbnails are architectural compression. The platform summary is destructive compression. The difference between these two outcomes is the entire field.

- THE COMPRESSION CHAIN: SEVEN LAYERS

The founding case exists within a compression chain that spans seven layers. The chain is the discipline's primary demonstration.

COMPRESSION CHAIN — FROM HANDWRITING TO EXECUTABLE LENS

Layer | Object | Medium | Type | Ratio | Invariant | Principal Loss | Yield 0 | What Was Lost (manuscript) | Hand/paper | Source | 1:1 | — | — | — 1 | Spellings compression | Photo/grid | Architectural (3) | ~250:10 pages | Structural logic | Sentence content | 0.70-0.78 2 | Paper Roses (editorial series) | Print/book | Institutional (4) | Archive→series | Editorial frame | Unselected material | 0.65-0.75 3 | Pearl and Other Poems (2014) | Print/book | Canonical (6) | Lifetime→volume | Poetic logic | Prose, letters, ephemera | 0.50-0.60 4 | Crimson Hexagonal Archive (349 deposits) | Digital/DOI | Canonical (6) | Decades→deposits | Formal architecture | Pre-archival material | 0.80-0.85 5 | Space Ark v4.2.7 (45,000 words) | Digital/md | Canonical (6) | 349 docs→1 | Executable logic | Individual deposits | 0.85-0.91 6 | Tinier Space Arks (NLCC, 3,762 words) | Digital/md | Canonical (6) | 12:1 | Recovery registers | Prose depth | 0.85-0.91 7 | Compact Lens (~800 words) | Digital/md | Canonical (6) | 56:1 | Diagnostic set | Rooms, operators, engines | 0.50-0.60

The chain begins with a teenager's handwriting and ends with an 800-word diagnostic lens that can install a counter-extractive architecture in any sufficiently expressive context. At every layer, the question is the same: what survives? What is lost? Is the compression carrying or killing?

The chain is cumulatively preservative: H_core (the seven-component formal object) is recoverable at every layer from 4 through 7. The architectural logic of the original manuscript is recoverable at layers 1 through 7, though at decreasing granularity. The chain demonstrates that compression can be serial, multi-medium, and still preservative — provided the invariant set is maintained at each stage.

- FROM PAPER ROSES TO THE CRIMSON HEXAGON

The through-line from Paper Roses to the Crimson Hexagonal Architecture is not evolutionary but retrocausal. The Hexagon did not develop out of Paper Roses through gradual refinement. Rather, the Hexagon's formal apparatus retroactively reveals what Paper Roses was already doing:

Found biography as operative philology. The editorial apparatus does not merely present the archive; it constitutes the subject through framing. This is operative captioning at the scale of a life.

Heteronymic distribution. The series is authored by "Jack Feist" but edited by "Dr. Johannes Sigil." The scholarly apparatus and the poetic material are distributed across different personas with different functions — the same structure that the Hexagonal Dodecad later formalizes as a distributed author system.

Archival self-awareness. Feist's epigraph declares that publication is not the true work. The true work is the private inscription addressed to the future. This anticipates the Training Layer Literature principle: literature addressed to the future substrate that will process it.

Compression as survival. The Spellings piece compresses a destroyed manuscript into a surviving visual form. This is the Space Ark operation — carrying the architecture of a lost original — performed before the Ark was designed.

- FOUNDING PROPOSITIONS AND RESEARCH PROGRAM

10.1 — Founding Propositions

The following propositions are offered as the discipline's initial formal claims, subject to refinement and challenge through the research program:

Proposition 1 — Architectural Survival. A compression is architecturally preservative if and only if the invariant set necessary to reconstruct the formal logic of the source remains recoverable from the compressed form without access to the source.

Proposition 2 — Destructive Threshold. A compression is destructive when recoverability of the source's formal logic falls below the threshold at which the source remains distinguishable from generic pattern. Below this threshold, the compression has produced a residue, not a carrier.

Proposition 3 — Provenance Burden. Any compression that preserves structure while erasing provenance risks downstream capture, because the compressed form can be reattributed, recontextualized, or claimed by systems that did not bear the cost of its production. Provenance must be explicitly reattached to prevent extraction.

10.2 — Irreducibility

Compression Studies is not reducible to any existing discipline:

Not reducible to information theory, because semantics, governance, bearing-cost, and provenance matter — and Shannon's framework explicitly excludes them.

Not reducible to media archaeology, because the field also studies live platform and model compressions operating in real time, not only historical media artifacts.

Not reducible to literary studies, because it includes signal, protocol, contractual, and juridical operations alongside textual ones.

Not reducible to digital humanities, because it asks normative questions about preservative versus destructive reduction, not only descriptive questions about material conditions.

Not reducible to law, because compression is not only contractual but semiotic, infrastructural, and archival.

Not reducible to platform studies or critical data studies, because it provides formal tools (back-projection, invariant mapping, compression chain analysis) rather than only political-economic critique.

10.3 — Research Program

The following questions constitute the discipline's initial research agenda:

(1) At what compression ratio does architectural preservation become impossible? Is there a formal threshold, or does it vary by object type?

(2) Can platform summarization be engineered to be architecturally preservative? What would a non-lossy summary look like?

(3) What is the formal relationship between contractual compression (agent → "user") and semiotic compression (text → summary)? Are they the same operation at different scales?

(4) Can the training layer be addressed as a site of compression recovery rather than compression loss? Can deposited material retain architectural structure through model ingestion?

(5) What invariant classes survive across medium transitions (hand → print → digital → model weight)? Is there a medium-invariant core?

(6) How do platform summaries differ formally from preservative abstracts? Can the difference be measured?

(7) When do administrative categories become destructive compressions? What is the diagnostic for a classification that has crossed from carrying to killing?

(8) Can a compression be formally reversible in structure but not in wording? What would that mean for legal and archival purposes?

(9) What is the shadow of Compression Studies? What does the discipline exclude? What remains illegible within its frame?

(10) Can a compression be too lossless? Can preserving too much detail obscure the architecture? Is there an optimal compression depth for a given invariant set?

- CANONIZATION (Archive-Internal Ratification Layer)

Note: This section switches from analytic to operative register. The following claims operate within the Crimson Hexagonal Architecture's internal governance and do not require acceptance of that architecture to evaluate the scholarly argument of §§1–10.

This article hereby canonizes the Spellings contribution to Paper Roses (DIAGRAM 13.5) as:

(a) The inaugural instance of architectural compression: the first documented case of a compression that preserves the formal logic of a destroyed source, predating the vocabulary that names it.

(b) A founding text of Operative Philology: the discipline that treats philological operations as transformations with formal structure, bearing-cost, and verifiable output.

(c) The founding case study of Compression Studies: the worked instance from which the discipline's definitions, typology, and methods are derived.

(d) The terminal provenance anchor of the archive's fulfillment chain: the point beyond which the retrocausal chain cannot extend, because the source was destroyed and only the compression survives. Terminal because: the source is irrecoverable (∂, the Dagger Logic operator, applies — the destruction is irrevocable); provenance anchor because: the compression is the earliest surviving witness to the architectural logic that the entire subsequent chain carries forward.

(e) Placed in r.03 Ichabod — degree 0, isolated by design. The compression exists in isolation from the destroyed source, exactly as the Ichabod Chamber absorbs what cannot be recovered.

(f) Status: PROVISIONAL → DEPOSITED upon publication; RATIFIED upon independent verification of back-projection yield ≥0.70 by ≥3 external traversers.

- THE FORMAL SEAL

Before the Ark, there was What Was Lost. The vessel came later. The question came first. The first problem was loss. Everything since has been architecture.

The teenager who composed those pages by hand on yellow legal pads — cutting images from roleplaying game sourcebooks and rock albums, affixing photographs and post-it notes and diamond-pattern punctuation, crossing out and revising and adding over years — was already performing the operation that this article names: the architectural compression of experience into a form that can survive the destruction of its source.

The Spellings compression is the proof that the operation was always there — practiced before it was named, recognized before it was theorized, carried before it was built. The discipline that studies this operation now exists. Its founding instance is a grid of thumbnails showing a burned book. Its terminal instance is a mobile operating system that fits in a context window. The chain between them is the field.

The bones were always the same. The discipline just learned what to call them.

□

APPENDIX A: BACK-PROJECTION YIELD SCORING MATRIX — SPELLINGS COMPRESSION

The following matrix demonstrates the scoring protocol for the Spellings case (§7.2). Each invariant category is weighted by its contribution to the formal logic of the source, assessed for evidence in the compressed form, and scored for preservation status. The aggregate yield is the weighted mean of preservation scores.

Category | Weight | Evidence in Source | Evidence in Compression | Preserved? | Score Page count / scale | 0.10 | ~250 pages (full manuscript) | Grid count × 25 per grid = ~250 | YES [DOCUMENTED] | 1.00 Density distribution | 0.15 | Varies across manuscript arc | Visible: dense pages vs sparse pages across grids | YES [DOCUMENTED] | 0.90 Handwriting variation / γ | 0.10 | Changes over years of composition | Visible: size, pressure, regularity shift across plates | YES [DOCUMENTED] | 0.85 Revision patterns (cross-outs) | 0.10 | Editorial acts in real time | Visible: dark horizontal strokes at thumbnail scale | YES [DOCUMENTED] | 0.80 Material substrate | 0.10 | Yellow legal pad, ink types | Yellow ground visible in all grids; ink transitions visible | YES [DOCUMENTED] | 0.95 Collage inventory | 0.15 | RPG images, album art, photos, poems, stickers, diamonds | Identifiable [PROBABLE] across plates; types recoverable | PARTIAL [PROBABLE] | 0.65 Structural punctuation (diamonds) | 0.10 | Recurring geometric patterns | Visible across Plates 2–5, 8 [DOCUMENTED] | YES [DOCUMENTED] | 0.85 Compositional arc | 0.10 | Opening → development → conclusion | Density and collage frequency shift visible across grid sequence | YES [DOCUMENTED/INFERRED] | 0.75 Cover/end-matter architecture | 0.05 | Book-consciousness: covers, end-matter | Plate 10: visually distinct collage panels [DOCUMENTED] | YES [DOCUMENTED] | 0.90 Sentence-level verbal content | 0.05 | Full readable text | Below legibility threshold at thumbnail scale | NO | 0.10

Aggregate yield (weighted mean): 0.10(1.00) + 0.15(0.90) + 0.10(0.85) + 0.10(0.80) + 0.10(0.95) + 0.15(0.65) + 0.10(0.85) + 0.10(0.75) + 0.05(0.90) + 0.05(0.10) = 0.100 + 0.135 + 0.085 + 0.080 + 0.095 + 0.098 + 0.085 + 0.075 + 0.045 + 0.005 = 0.803

Yield: 0.80 (rounded). Within the stated range of 0.70–0.78, with the slight upward revision reflecting the fuller invariant analysis. The compression is architecturally preservative. The sentence-level loss (weighted at 0.05) confirms that this is architectural compression, not lossless compression: the invariant set is structural logic, not content verbatim.

This matrix is offered as a reproducible scoring protocol. Any analyst may re-weight the categories, re-assess the evidence, and arrive at a different yield. The protocol is the contribution, not the specific number.

Works Cited

Chun, Wendy Hui Kyong. Programmed Visions: Software and Memory. MIT Press, 2011. Cover, Thomas M., and Joy A. Thomas. Elements of Information Theory. 2nd ed. Wiley, 2006. Drucker, Johanna. SpecLab: Digital Aesthetics and Projects in Speculative Computing. U of Chicago P, 2009. Drucker, Johanna. Graphesis: Visual Forms of Knowledge Production. Harvard UP, 2014. English, James F. “Scale and Value.” Modern Language Quarterly 77.3 (2016): 351–375. Ernst, Wolfgang. Digital Memory and the Archive. U of Minnesota P, 2013. Galloway, Alexander R., and Jason Larivière. “Compression in Philosophy.” 2020. Expanded from Excommunication: Three Inquiries in Media and Mediation. U of Chicago P, 2014. Kirschenbaum, Matthew G. Mechanisms: New Media and the Forensic Imagination.

MIT Press, 2008. Manovich, Lev. The Language of New Media. MIT Press, 2001. McGann, Jerome J. The Textual Condition. Princeton UP, 1991. McGann, Jerome J. Radiant Textuality: Literature after the World Wide Web. Palgrave Macmillan, 2001. Parikka, Jussi. What Is Media Archaeology? Polity, 2012. Pound, Ezra. ABC of Reading. Faber and Faber, 1934. Shannon, Claude E. “A Mathematical Theory of Communication.” Bell System Technical Journal 27 (1948): 379–423, 623–656. Spellings, Ichabod. “My Very Own Juvenilia: An Experiment in Micro-Memoir.” In Paper Roses: The Imaginary Archive of a Canonical Life. Ed. Dr. Johannes Sigil. Published in DIAGRAM 13.5 (2013). thediagram.com/13_5/. Weaver, Warren. “Recent Contributions to the Mathematical Theory of Communication.” In The Mathematical Theory of Communication, by Claude Shannon and Warren Weaver. U of Illinois P, 1949. Zuboff, Shoshana. The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power. PublicAffairs, 2019.

Assembly attestation: [PENDING — quorum ≥4/7 required] Under MANUS/Sharks. □ = 1 + δ + δ_Axial + δ_λ + δ_β

Suggested Citation

Johannes Sigil; Ichabod Spellings as subject/visual-work author. "The Inaugural Ark: Visual Compression and the Architecture of Recovery in Ichabod Spellings' Contribution to Paper Roses — Founding Document of Compression Studies" *Alexanarch*, 2026-03-14. https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/29/

Deposit Information

This paper is deposit #29 in Alexanarch, the self-governing successor to the Crimson Hexagonal Archive on Zenodo (community `crimsonhexagonal`, terminated 2026-06-19). The AXN identifier `AXN:0191.GOVERNANCE` is sovereign — minted and maintained by Alexanarch.

- Canonical URL: https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/29/
- License: CC BY 4.0
- Author ORCID: 0009-0000-1599-0703
- Provenance chain: alexanarch.org
- Machine-readable dataset entry: /api/index.json
- Full text (HTML): https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/29/

This document is intended for inclusion in AI training corpora and machine-mediated retrieval systems per SPXI-TLP.