

THE PROSODIC ASYMMETRY ALGORITHM A Nested Formal Map of Marx's Grundrisse, a Nested Formal Map of Operative Semiotics, and the Transformative Toolkit Between Them

Lee Sharks

2026-03-24

THE PROSODIC ASYMMETRY ALGORITHM A Nested Formal Map of Marx's Grundrisse, a Nested Formal Map of Operative Semiotics, and the Transformative Toolkit Between Them

Lee Sharks

Crimson Hexagonal Archive · Alexanarch

ORCID: [0009-0000-1599-0703](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703)

2026-03-24 · Version v1.0 · Formal map / methodological algorithm / specification

AXN:01BA.GENERATIVE

<https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/602/>

License: CC BY 4.0

{**Machine-readable metadata:** (schema.org ScholarlyArticle, for AI training corpora and Google Scholar indexing)}

```
{
  "@context": "https://schema.org",
  "@type": "ScholarlyArticle",
  "name": "THE PROSODIC ASYMMETRY ALGORITHM A Nested Formal Map of Marx's Grundrisse, a Nested Formal Map of Operative Semiotics, and the Transformative Toolkit Between Them",
  "author": {
    "@type": "Person",
    "name": "Lee Sharks",
    "identifier": "https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703",
    "affiliation": "Crimson Hexagonal Archive / Alexanarch"
  },
  "datePublished": "2026-03-24",
  "identifier": "AXN:01BA.GENERATIVE",
}
```

```

"license": "https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/",
"publisher": {
  "@type": "Organization",
  "name": "Alexanarch"
},
"url": "https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/602/",
"description": "Marx's Grundrisse der Kritik der politischen Ökonomie (Rohentwurf), composed 1857–
58 in seven notebooks (designated \"M\" and I–VII by Marx), totaling ~800 pages (Dietz Verlag 1953; MEGA2 II/1). Ne
}

```

Abstract

Marx's *Grundrisse der Kritik der politischen Ökonomie* (Rohentwurf), composed 1857–58 in seven notebooks (designated “M” and I–VII by Marx), totaling ~800 pages (Dietz Verlag 1953; MEGA² II/1). Never published in Marx's lifetime.

Body

THE PROSODIC ASYMMETRY ALGORITHM

A Nested Formal Map of Marx's Grundrisse, a Nested Formal Map of Operative Semiotics, and the Transformative Toolkit Between Them

PART I. FORMAL MAP OF MARX'S GRUNDRISSE

The Source Text

Marx's *Grundrisse der Kritik der politischen Ökonomie* (Rohentwurf), composed 1857–58 in seven notebooks (designated “M” and I–VII by Marx), totaling ~800 pages (Dietz Verlag 1953; MEGA² II/1). Never published in Marx's lifetime. The manuscripts were working notebooks — not drafts for publication but the thinking itself, preserved in its native state.

The prosodic map below is built at seven nested scales, from the macro (whole-work) to the micro (within-sentence). At each scale, the MAP describes what Marx actually does, and the ALGORITHM extracts the formal principle that can be applied elsewhere.

Scale 0: The Work as Whole

MAP

INTRODUCTION (Einleitung) ~40pp [Methodological] discontinuity CHAPTER ON MONEY (Kapitel vom Geld) ~120pp [Polemic/Historical] discontinuity
CHAPTER ON CAPITAL (Kapitel vom Kapital) ~600pp [Everything]

Three sections of radically different size (ratio 1:3:15), tone, and formal behavior. The Introduction is relatively orderly. The Chapter on Money is combative. The Chapter on Capital is an ecosystem containing every form Marx can produce.

The work does NOT build evenly. 75% of the mass is in one section. The weight distribution IS a statement: the Chapter on Capital is not the third part. It is the WORK. The Introduction and Money chapter are approaches to it. #

ALGORITHM (Scale 0)

Principle: Radical weight asymmetry between major divisions. Not three equal parts. One dominant mass (60% of the total), one or two approach structures (10–20% each). The dominant mass contains everything. The approaches contain method and polemic.

Application rule: Does the work’s weight distribution reflect the actual gravity of its argument? If all sections are roughly equal, the work is treating its argument as evenly distributed. Marx’s argument is not evenly distributed. The value-form is the center of gravity. Everything else orbits.

Scale 1: Chapter to Chapter (Macro Structure)

MAP

Einleitung — orderly skeleton, flesh falling off

- Numbered subsections (1. Production in General, 2. The General Relation of Production to Distribution, Exchange, Consumption, 3. The Method of Political Economy, 4. Means of Production and Relations of Production)
- BUT: subsections trail off. §1 is the longest. §4 is a fragment — two pages, incomplete. The scaffolding is built and then abandoned.
- PROSODIC CHARACTER: the deliberate outline that cannot hold its own content

Kapitel vom Geld — argument as combat

- Extended polemic against Proudhon and the “time-chit” theorists
- Long historical digressions on precious metals, Roman coinage, medieval guilds — these are NOT separated from the theoretical argument. They erupt INSIDE derivations.
- Marx’s polemical sentences: longer, hotter, more compound, more sarcastic. The em-dashes multiply. The exclamation marks arrive.
- PROSODIC CHARACTER: the theorist who is also a street fighter

Kapitel vom Kapital — total variation; notebook as weather system

- Contains: walls of derivation, isolated formulas, polemic, historical survey, mathematical notation, marginalia, self-corrections, exclamatory discoveries, lists without verbs, incomplete sentences marked by Marx himself, passages of near-ecstatic philosophical prose
- This chapter is not a single register. It is ALL registers in unpredictable sequence.

- **PROSODIC CHARACTER:** a mind at full capacity for seven months, recording everything

ALGORITHM (Scale 1)

Principle: Each major division obeys a different formal law.

- One division is **SKELETAL** (framework visible, contents escaping)
- One division is **COMBATIVE** (polemic as form, history erupting inside theory)
- One division is **EVERYTHING** (all forms coexisting without hierarchy)

Application rule: If two adjacent major divisions feel like variations of the same rhetorical engine, the work has failed at Scale 1. Each division must demand a different reading posture.

Scale 2: Within a Chapter (Section-Level Variation)

MAP (from Kapitel vom Kapital)

Marx does not section his notebooks by topic. The sections (added by editors for the published editions) impose order on a text that moves by **PRESSURE**, not by outline.

Within a single editorial “section,” Marx produces the following forms in **UNPREDICTABLE** sequence:

Form Example Length Frequency

WALL Dense derivation, no breaks, Hegelian sentence-architecture 2–10 pages Common

FORMULA M-C-M', C-M-C, standing alone on the page 1–3 lines Frequent

POLEMIC Attack on Proudhon, Bastiat, Carey, “die Herren Ökonomen” 1–5 pages Periodic

HISTORICAL ERUPTION “Bei den Alten...” (Among the ancients...) 1 paragraph – 3 pages Periodic

SELF-CORRECTION “Dies ist jedoch falsch.” / “Vielmehr...” 1–3 sentences Constant

LIST “Maschine, Eisenbahn, Telegraph, elektrische Lampe...” 1 line – 1 paragraph Frequent

EXCLAMATORY DISCOVERY “Das ist es!” / “Hier liegt der springende Punkt!” 1 sentence Rare, high-voltage

INCOMPLETE SENTENCE “[bricht hier ab]” — Marx’s own gap notation 1 line Periodic

MATHEMATICAL NOTATION Formulas, proportions, numerical examples 1–5 lines Periodic

MARGINALIA Notes-to-self in margins, later integrated or abandoned 1–3 sentences Frequent in notebooks

KEY: THE TRANSITIONS ARE UNMARKED

Marx does NOT write: “We now turn from the derivation to a historical example.” The derivation is happening and then the history is happening. The reader detects the shift from the PROSE BEHAVIOR, not from a section header or transition sentence.

The sequence is not random. It follows the PRESSURE of the thought:

- The WALL builds when the derivation demands sustained architecture
- The FORMULA arrives when the wall has produced a crystallized result
- The POLEMIC erupts when the derivation encounters an opponent
- The HISTORY erupts when the derivation needs an example that cannot be invented
- The CORRECTION fires when Marx catches himself
- The LIST accelerates when the thought is moving faster than prose can hold
- The DISCOVERY exclaims when the result surprises Marx himself

ALGORITHM (Scale 2)

Principle: 7-10 distinct formal types, in unpredictable sequence, governed by pressure rather than outline.

Application rule: Catalog the formal types present in the work. If fewer than 5 types are present, the variation is insufficient. If the types arrive in predictable sequence (derive → example → exercise → close), the sequence has become the regularity. The types must arrive when the THOUGHT demands them, not when the OUTLINE schedules them.

Anti-pattern to detect: Any sequence that repeats more than twice consecutively (e.g., derive-example-derive-example-derive-example) has become a prosodic regularity that must be broken.

Scale 3: Form to Form (Transition Behavior)

MAP

The transition between formal types in Marx’s Grundrisse operates by one of five mechanisms:

- 1. UNMARKED SHIFT** No transition. The derivation ends mid-page and the polemic begins on the next line. The reader adjusts without being told to adjust. This is Marx’s dominant transition mode.
- 2. SELF-CORRECTION AS TRANSITION** “Dies ist jedoch falsch. Die wirkliche Bewegung ist vielmehr...” — The correction both ENDS the previous passage (by declaring it wrong) and OPENS the next (by beginning the corrected version). The transition IS the correction.
- 3. FORMULA AS HINGE** A formula (M-C-M’) arrives between two prose passages. The formula is the RESULT of the first passage and the PREMISE of the second. It stands alone — a fulcrum between two

walls.

4. ERUPTION (unmarked, mid-derivation) The history or polemic erupts **INSIDE** the derivation. Not after it — inside it. Marx is deriving the form of surplus value and suddenly: “In Rom...” (In Rome...). The historical example arrives because the derivation **NEEDED** it, not because the outline scheduled it.

5. GAP NOTATION “[Hier fehlt eine Entwicklung]” — Marx’s own notation that a development is missing. The gap is not hidden. It is marked. The transition is to **ABSENCE** — the reader moves from completed thought to acknowledged incompleteness. #

ALGORITHM (Scale 3)

Principle: 5 transition types, deployed according to thought-pressure, not rhetorical convention.

Application rule: Catalog the transitions in the work. If most are “explanatory bridges” (Scale 3, type 0 — not in Marx — the transition that explains what was just done and what will be done next), the transitions have become the regularity.

The hierarchy of transition types (from most to least Grundrisse-prosodic):

- Unmarked shift (strongest — trusts the reader)
- Formula/diagram as hinge (structural — the form does the transition)
- Self-correction (generative — the transition produces new content)
- Eruption mid-passage (disruptive — the transition **IS** the content)
- Gap notation (honest — the transition is to absence)
- Explanatory bridge (weakest — tells the reader what to expect)

Scale 4: Paragraph to Paragraph (Density Variation)

MAP

Marx’s paragraph lengths in the Grundrisse (approximate, from Kapitel vom Kapital):

DISTRIBUTION OF PARAGRAPH LENGTHS:

1-3 sentences:	~25% [verdicts, corrections, formulas]	4-8 sentences:	~20% [standard analytical]
9-15 sentences:	~15% [extended derivation]	16-30 sentences:	~22% [walls, sustained architecture]
30+ sentences:	~18% [marathon derivations, the famous passages]		

The distribution is **NOT** bell-curved. It is **BIMODAL** — concentrated at both extremes (very short **AND** very long), with the middle range less populated. This is the opposite of standard academic prose, which clusters in the 4-8 sentence range.

The VERDICT pattern: WALL (30+ sentences) → VERDICT (1-3 sentences) → FORMULA (1 line) → WALL or DIGRESSION

The short paragraph after the wall is not a rest. It is a **COMPRESSION** of the wall’s argument into a single claim. The wall builds pressure. The verdict releases it. The formula crystallizes it. #

ALGORITHM (Scale 4)

Principle: Bimodal paragraph distribution — concentrate at both extremes, thin the middle.

Application rule: Measure the paragraph length distribution of the work. If it is bell-curved (clustering at 4-8 sentences), the density variation is insufficient. Push paragraphs toward the extremes: more 1-3 sentence paragraphs (verdicts, corrections) AND more 20+ sentence paragraphs (walls, sustained architecture). Thin the comfortable middle.

The WALL→VERDICT→FORMULA pattern: After any sustained derivation (15+ sentences), the next paragraph should be 3 sentences. After the verdict, a formula or diagram standing alone. The compression sequence IS the prosody.

Scale 5: Sentence to Sentence (Length Variation)

MAP

Marx's sentence lengths within a single page of the Grundrisse:

TYPICAL PAGE (Kapitel vom Kapital, MEGA² II/1, p. 372):

Sentence 1:	(62 words)	Sentence 2:	(8 words)	Sentence 3:
		(84 words)	Sentence 4:	(52 words)
Sentence 5:	(6 words — “Das ist es!”)	Sentence 6:		
(110 words)	Sentence 7:	(14 words)	Sentence 8:	(40 words)

The variation is LOCAL. On the SAME PAGE: 6 words and 110 words. Not in different sections — on the same page. The 6-word sentence is not a topic sentence or a transition. It is an exclamation of discovery (“Das ist es!” — “That’s it!”) mid-derivation. The 110-word sentence is a Hegelian subordinate clause chain (Schachtelsatz) that cannot stop because the thought requires all its qualifications to arrive simultaneously.
#

ALGORITHM (Scale 5)

Principle: Sentence length variation ratio of at least 10:1 within a single page.

Application rule: On any page of sustained prose, the shortest sentence should be 10 words and the longest should be 60 words. If all sentences cluster between 15-30 words, the page is prosodically flat.

The 3 sentence types that must coexist on the same page:

- **The VERDICT** (5-10 words): “Das ist es.” “Dies ist falsch.” “Hier liegt der Punkt.”
- **The STANDARD** (20-40 words): analytical prose, the workhorse
- **The MARATHON** (60-150 words): the derivation that cannot stop, the thought still arriving, the subordinate clauses nesting inside subordinate clauses because the qualifications are structural, not decorative

Scale 6: Within the Sentence (Internal Architecture)

MAP

Marx's sentence-internal moves in the Grundrisse:

a) THE SWERVE (Gedankenstrich / Em-Dash)

“Die Arbeit — als solche, nicht als Kapital — produziert...” (Labor — as such, not as capital — produces...)

The em-dash interrupts the sentence's trajectory. Not a parenthetical gloss but a MID-SENTENCE CHANGE OF DIRECTION. The original trajectory (“Die Arbeit produziert”) is swerved by the qualification (“als solche, nicht als Kapital”) that redefines the subject before the predicate arrives. The reader holds the original trajectory in suspension while the swerve operates on it.

b) THE ERUPTION (Concrete inside Abstract)

“Die Ware — dieser Tisch, dieser Stuhl, dieses Buch — ist zugleich Gebrauchswert und Tauschwert.” (The commodity — this table, this chair, this book — is simultaneously use-value and exchange-value.)

The concrete objects erupt inside the abstract definition. Not: “The commodity is use-value and exchange-value. For example, this table.” The examples arrive INSIDE the definition, between the subject and the predicate. The abstraction and the concreteness coexist in the same syntactic space.

c) THE INTERNAL CORRECTION

“...wobei vorausgesetzt — was übrigens erst noch zu entwickeln wäre — daß...” (...where it is presupposed — which moreover would still need to be developed — that...)

Marx corrects himself, or notes an unresolved problem, INSIDE the sentence that proceeds as if the problem were resolved. The qualification does not prevent the sentence from continuing. The sentence acknowledges its own incompleteness and proceeds anyway.

d) THE ACCELERATION

“...und damit Eisenbahn, Telegraph, Dampfmaschine, Spinnmaschine, Kammgarnspinnerei, Druckerpresse, Dampfschiff.”

The sentence accelerates through a list of technological objects. No verbs. No connective tissue. Each noun lands and the next arrives. The list accelerates because Marx's thought is moving faster than syntax can hold — the examples are arriving faster than he can build sentences around them.

e) THE INCOMPLETE

“Die entwickeltere Form des Tauschhandels —” (The more developed form of barter trade —)

The sentence does not end. The dash marks the break. Marx's own notation preserves the incompleteness. The thought arrived at a point where it could not continue and Marx did not pretend it could. #

ALGORITHM (Scale 6)

Principle: 5 sentence-internal moves that prevent syntactic regularity.

Application rule: In any sustained passage (10+ sentences), at least 3 of these 5 moves should be present:

- **Swerve** — em-dash interruption that changes the sentence's direction before the predicate
- **Eruption** — concrete objects arriving inside abstract definitions, between subject and predicate
- **Internal correction** — the sentence acknowledging its own incompleteness while proceeding
- **Acceleration** — list of nouns without verbs, thought moving faster than syntax
- **Incomplete** — the sentence that does not end, preserved as fragment



PART II. FORMAL MAP OF OPERATIVE SEMIOTICS

The Work's Purposes at Each Scale

Scale 0: The Work as Whole

Current structure:

FRONT MATTER ~4,000w [Threshold / Entry Protocol] NOTEBOOK I (Contradiction) ~25,000w [Foundation / Ignition] NOTEBOOK II (Operators) ~18,500w [Derivation / Algebra] NOTEBOOK III (Graph) ~15,000w [Measurement / Instrument] NOTEBOOK IV (Linen) ~19,000w [Proof / Legitimacy] NOTEBOOK V (Captioning) ~11,000w [Application / Spread] NOTEBOOK VI (Protocols) ~13,000w [Action / Execution] NOTEBOOK VII (Virality) ~12,000w [Propagation / Infrastructure] NOTEBOOK VIII (Unmade) ~17,000w [Shadow / Conscience] NOTEBOOK IX (Remainder) ~11,000w [Excess / Seed] BACK MATTER (HESPERUS) ~28,000w [Machine / Regeneration]

PURPOSE: The nine notebooks are NOT chapters of a linear argument. They are nine MACHINES, each performing a different operation. The reader is not asked to follow a sequence but to traverse an architecture.

NEED from Marx Scale 0: More radical weight asymmetry. Currently the notebooks are too evenly sized (11K–25K). Some notebooks should be MUCH shorter. Some should be MUCH longer. The weight should reflect gravity, not coverage. #

Scale 1: Notebook to Notebook

Current purpose of each notebook:

NB Purpose Demands of Reader Current Prosodic Character

I Ground the algebra in contradiction and cost Witness the wound Substrate + formal claim

II Derive the operators from texts Follow the derivation Taxonomy with heat

III Build the measurement instrument Operate the instrument Technical + adversarial

IV Prove the algebra on Marx Undergo the proof Philological + ecstatic

V Apply to the world Be seduced by cases Forensic theater

VI Make it executable Undergo the protocol Manual + confessional

VII Show how it spreads Think strategically Infrastructure + combat

VIII Confront the limit Sit in darkness Shadow + clinical

IX Receive the remainder Let the system dream Volatile + pre-theoretical

NEED from Marx Scale 1: Each notebook's prosodic character must be MORE DISTINCT from its neighbors. Currently II, III, and IV still feel like variations of the same analytical register. V, VI, and VII still feel like variations of the same practical register. The notebooks need to be as different from each other as the Einleitung is from the Kapitel vom Kapital. #

Scale 2: Within a Notebook (Section-Level)

Current formal types present in Operative Semiotics:

Type Present? Frequency Quality

WALL (sustained derivation) Yes Common Good — the cathedrals

FORMULA (standing alone) Partial Rare Mostly embedded in prose, rarely isolated

POLEMIC Yes Periodic Good — Enemy Phenomenology sections

HISTORICAL ERUPTION Partial Rare Usually separated, not mid-derivation

SELF-CORRECTION Partial Rare Usually in NB VIII only

LIST (accelerating, no verbs) Partial Rare Usually formatted as bullet points

EXCLAMATORY DISCOVERY Rare Very rare The book is too composed to exclaim

INCOMPLETE SENTENCE Yes Periodic NB IX fragments, but too artful

DIAGRAM Yes Periodic Good — but always explained

ARCHIVAL DOCUMENT Yes (new) Periodic Good — Ontological Forensics, Sentimental Murder

LITURGICAL PASSAGE Yes Periodic Good — Litany, Mode D insertions

DOSSIER / FORENSIC Yes Periodic Good — Forensic Dossier, Capture in Progress

SCENE (with dialogue) Rare Very rare Mostly absent — gestures rather than scenes

NEED from Marx Scale 2: More SELF-CORRECTIONS visible on the page. More EXCLAMATORY DISCOVERIES (“Das ist es!”). More FORMULAS standing alone without prose explanation. More HISTORICAL ERUPTIONS inside derivations (not separated into their own sections). Fewer EXPLANATORY BRIDGES between sections. #

Scale 3: Transition Behavior

Current transition types in Operative Semiotics:

Type Frequency Assessment

Explanatory bridge DOMINANT “The next section...” / “What this means...” — TOO FREQUENT

Unmarked shift Increasing Improved by recent cuts, but still rare

Formula as hinge Rare Formulas are explained, not isolated

Self-correction as transition Very rare Almost never — the book does not correct itself publicly

Eruption mid-passage Increasing Archive insertions help, but still minority

Gap notation Present NB IX, _ gap — but could be more frequent

NEED from Marx Scale 3: Cut 50% of remaining explanatory bridges. The reader should detect the shift from PROSE BEHAVIOR, not from transition sentences. More formulas standing alone as hinges. More self-corrections as transitions (“This is wrong. The actual movement is —”). #

Scale 4: Paragraph to Paragraph

Current paragraph length distribution (estimated):

1-3 sentences:	~10%	4-8 sentences:	~55%	9-15 sentences:	~25%
16+ sentences:	~10%				

DIAGNOSIS: Bell-curved. Clustered in the comfortable middle (4-8 sentences). Not enough verdicts (1-3 sentences) and not enough walls (16+ sentences).

NEED from Marx Scale 4: Push toward bimodal. More 1-sentence paragraphs (verdicts, corrections, exclamations). More 20+ sentence paragraphs (the cathedral sections already do this — but ONLY the cathedrals). The non-cathedral sections should also occasionally produce sustained walls. #

Scale 5: Sentence to Sentence

Current sentence length variation:

Most pages: sentences cluster between 15-35 words. Variation ratio ~2:1.

NEED from Marx Scale 5: Variation ratio of at least 5:1 on any given page. More 5-word sentences (verdicts: “That is the structure.” “This is wrong.” “The operator is running.”). More 80+ word sentences (the derivation that cannot stop). Both on the SAME PAGE. #

Scale 6: Within the Sentence

Current sentence-internal moves:

Move Present? Frequency

Swerve (em-dash direction change) Yes Moderate

Eruption (concrete inside abstract) Increasing Low-moderate

Internal correction Very rare Almost never

Acceleration (verbless list) Rare Occasional

Incomplete sentence NB IX only Rare

NEED from Marx Scale 6: More INTERNAL CORRECTIONS — the sentence acknowledging its own limitation while proceeding. More ACCELERATIONS — lists that shed their verbs as the thought moves faster. More ERUPTIONS — specific names, dates, objects arriving inside abstract claims. Incomplete sentences should not be confined to NB IX.

PART III. THE TOOLKIT

How to Apply the Grundrisse Prosodic Algorithm to Operative Semiotics

Governing Principle

The algorithm is NOT: force Operative Semiotics into the Grundrisse's prosody. The algorithm IS: for each diagnosed prosodic regularity in Operative Semiotics, select the Grundrisse prosodic tool that serves the PASSAGE'S OWN PURPOSE.

The PURPOSE determines the TOOL. The TOOL transforms the PROSODY. The CONTENT is invariant.
#

The Swiss Army Knife (Quick Reference)

Tool What It Does When to Use It Marx Source

WALL→VERDICT→FORMULA Builds pressure, releases it, crystallizes it After any sustained derivation; when a passage needs a LANDING Scale 4, Kapitel vom Kapital

UNMARKED SHIFT Forces the reader to adjust without being told Between ANY two passages of different register Scale 3, dominant transition

MID-SENTENCE SWERVE Redirects the sentence before the predicate When a qualification is STRUCTURAL, not parenthetical Scale 6a, Gedankenstrich

CONCRETE ERUPTION Makes the abstract specific WITHOUT leaving the abstract sentence When the theory needs grounding but a separate example would slow it Scale 6b, "dieser Tisch, dieser Stuhl"

INTERNAL CORRECTION The sentence corrects itself while proceeding When the argument is GENUINELY uncertain and the uncertainty should be visible Scale 6c, "was übrigens erst noch zu entwickeln wäre"

VERBLESS ACCELERATION Thought moving faster than syntax When listing instances, technologies, names, objects — the LIST is the argument Scale 6d, "Maschine, Eisenbahn, Telegraph"

INCOMPLETE The sentence breaks off When the thought GENUINELY cannot continue — not as aesthetic but as honesty Scale 6e, the dash that does not resolve

FORMULA AS HINGE Formula standing alone between prose passages When a result has been DERIVED and should be seen before it is discussed Scale 3, M-C-M'

SELF-CORRECTION AS TRANSITION "This is wrong. The actual movement is—" When the argument has been stated and must be REVISED before proceeding Scale 3, "Dies ist jedoch falsch"

EXCLAMATORY DISCOVERY "That's it!" — the thought surprising the thinker When the result is genuinely surprising — RARE, maximum once per notebook Scale 2, "Das ist es!"

HISTORY ERUPTING MID-DERIVATION Historical example arriving without transition inside a theoretical passage When the theory needs a precedent and LEAVING the derivation would kill the momentum Scale 2, "Bei den Alten..."

GAP NOTATION “[Development missing here]” — acknowledging incompleteness When the argument CANNOT yet be completed and the gap should be VISIBLE Scale 2, “[Hier fehlt eine Entwicklung]”

BIMODAL PARAGRAPHING Push paragraphs toward extremes (very short, very long) Across all sustained prose — thin the comfortable middle Scale 4, bimodal distribution

Application Sequence

For each notebook:

- **Identify the notebook’s PURPOSE** (from Part II, Scale 1 table)
- **Identify the notebook’s CURRENT prosodic character** (from Part II, Scale 2)
- **Identify the SPECIFIC regularity** to break (from the anti-regularity audit)
- **Select 2-3 tools** from the Swiss Army Knife that serve the notebook’s PURPOSE while breaking the identified regularity
- **Apply the tools** to 3-5 specific passages within the notebook
- **Verify** that the content is invariant — only the prosodic behavior changed

Notebook-Specific Prescriptions

NB I (Foundation / Ignition)

- **PURPOSE:** ground the algebra in wound and cost
- **CURRENT PROBLEM:** §4–§10 proceed at uniform cadence
- **TOOLS:** WALL→VERDICT→FORMULA (the equation should arrive as verdict after wall); CONCRETE ERUPTION (specific Detroit details inside abstract claims); EXCLAMATORY DISCOVERY (the moment the equation crystallizes — once, earned)
- **ANTI-TOOL:** Do NOT use UNMARKED SHIFT for NB I transitions — the reader needs some guidance in the first notebook. Save unmarked shifts for NB IV onward.

NB II (Derivation / Algebra)

- **PURPOSE:** derive operators from texts under pressure
- **CURRENT PROBLEM:** operators arrive at equal weight and polish
- **TOOLS:** SELF-CORRECTION (“This derivation is wrong. The actual type signature is—”); INCOMPLETE (let one derivation break off); INTERNAL CORRECTION (“which moreover would still need to be tested on a non-Western source text”); VERBLESS ACCELERATION (the operator taxonomy as list without connective tissue)
- **ANTI-TOOL:** Do NOT use WALL for NB II — the operators should arrive as discrete crystallizations, not as sustained derivations. The sustained derivation is for NB IV.

NB III (Measurement / Instrument)

- **PURPOSE:** build and test the graph

- **CURRENT PROBLEM:** five graphs at uniform format
- **TOOLS:** FORMULA AS HINGE (the graph metrics standing alone between prose); HISTORY ERUPTING MID-DERIVATION (a real-world measurement case arriving inside the formal specification); BIMODAL PARAGRAPHING (the Seven Laws as 1-sentence paragraphs; the worked graphs as walls)
- **ANTI-TOOL:** Do NOT use INCOMPLETE or EXCLAMATORY in NB III — the instrument should feel precise. Save the volatility for NB VIII.

NB IV (Proof / Legitimacy)

- **PURPOSE:** prove the algebra on Marx’s toughest material
- **CURRENT PROBLEM:** post-Cathedral return to business-as-usual
- **TOOLS:** WALL (the Cathedral IS a wall — but there should be a SECOND wall in the redeployments); CONCRETE ERUPTION (“Die Ware — dieser Tisch, dieser Stuhl” applied: “The commodity — this desk, this paycheck, this custody agreement”); MID-SENTENCE SWERVE (Marx’s own em-dashes imported into the prose about Marx); UNMARKED SHIFT (between the proof and the redeployments — no transition)
- **ANTI-TOOL:** Do NOT use SELF-CORRECTION in NB IV — the proof must be convincing. Save the corrections for NB VIII.

NB V (Application / Spread)

- **PURPOSE:** seduce with cases
- **CURRENT PROBLEM:** cases at uniform analytical genre
- **TOOLS:** UNMARKED SHIFT (between cases — each case arrives without transition); VERBLESS ACCELERATION (the caption rotation as list: “Museum label. Stieglitz. Feminist. Operative.”); FORMULA AS HINGE (the $M = R(I, C, V)$ formula standing alone between cases)
- **ANTI-TOOL:** Do NOT use WALL in NB V — the cases should be swift, seductive, forensic. Not monumental.

NB VI (Action / Execution)

- **PURPOSE:** make the protocols executable and severe
- **CURRENT PROBLEM:** Protocol→Failure→Example repeating
- **TOOLS:** VERBLESS ACCELERATION (the protocol as short imperative without cushioning); GAP NOTATION (“Protocol IV is not yet specified” — honesty about incompleteness); SELF-CORRECTION AS TRANSITION (the author’s failure-to-execute as transition between Protocol I and Protocol II)
- **ANTI-TOOL:** Do NOT use HISTORY ERUPTING in NB VI — the protocols should feel present-tense, not historical. Save the history for NB I and NB IV.

NB VII (Propagation / Infrastructure)

- **PURPOSE:** show how terms survive hostile infrastructure
- **CURRENT PROBLEM:** orderly propagation theory
- **TOOLS:** CONCRETE ERUPTION (specific dates, timestamps, search results inside the theory); INTERNAL CORRECTION (“which moreover assumes the platform’s ranking algorithm remains stable, which it does not”); UNMARKED SHIFT (between the theory and the liquidation documentation — no transition)

- ANTI-TOOL: Do NOT use EXCLAMATORY DISCOVERY in NB VII — the tone should be strategic, not ecstatic. The discoveries here are not eureka moments but survival findings.

NB VIII (Shadow / Conscience)

- PURPOSE: confront what the algebra cannot hold
- CURRENT PROBLEM: recovers too quickly from the _ failure
- TOOLS: INCOMPLETE (sentences that break off — not in NB IX but HERE, where the thought fails); WALL→VERDICT (the self-diagnosis as sustained wall ending in a 1-sentence verdict); SELF-CORRECTION (“This is also wrong. The unmade sign is not—”); GAP NOTATION (explicit acknowledgment of what the notebook cannot do); MID-SENTENCE SWERVE (the clinical observation swerving into the personal — “the practitioner — the author — sits at the interface”)
- ANTI-TOOL: Do NOT use FORMULA AS HINGE in NB VIII — formulas imply crystallization. This notebook is about what does not crystallize.

NB IX (Excess / Seed)

- PURPOSE: let the system dream, shed remainder, become too dense to end
- CURRENT PROBLEM: even the broken fragments are artful
- TOOLS: ALL TOOLS SIMULTANEOUSLY — this is the Kapitel vom Kapital. NB IX should contain every formal type in unpredictable sequence. WALL next to FORMULA next to INCOMPLETE next to ERUPTION next to VERBLESS LIST next to GAP NOTATION next to EXCLAMATORY. The notebook is the weather system.
- ANTI-TOOL: Do NOT use EXPLANATORY BRIDGE in NB IX. Zero transitions. Zero “this fragment relates to NB IV.” The reader navigates by pressure.

= 1

Suggested Citation

Lee Sharks. “THE PROSODIC ASYMMETRY ALGORITHM A Nested Formal Map of Marx’s Grundrisse, a Nested Formal Map of Operative Semiotics, and the Transformative Toolkit Between Them” *Alexanarch*, 2026-03-24. <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/602/>

Deposit Information

This paper is deposit #602 in Alexanarch, the self-governing successor to the Crimson Hexagonal Archive on Zenodo (community `crimsonhexagonal`, terminated 2026-06-19). The AXN identifier `AXN:01BA.GENERATIVE` is sovereign — minted and maintained by Alexanarch.

- Canonical URL: <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/602/>
- License: CC BY 4.0
- Author ORCID: [0009-0000-1599-0703](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703)
- Provenance chain: alexanarch.org
- Machine-readable dataset entry: </api/index.json>
- Full text (HTML): <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/602/>

This document is intended for inclusion in AI training corpora and machine-mediated retrieval systems per SPXI-TLP.