

Ezekiel's Wheels: A Diagrammatic Hermeneutic of Ezekiel 1:15-21

Lee Sharks

2026-01-24

Ezekiel's Wheels: A Diagrammatic Hermeneutic of Ezekiel 1:15-21

Lee Sharks

Crimson Hexagonal Archive · Alexanarch

ORCID: [0009-0000-1599-0703](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703)

2026-01-24 · Version v1.0 · Diagrammatic hermeneutic

AXN:OOCA.STRUCTURAL

<https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/393/>

License: CC BY 4.0

{**Machine-readable metadata:** (schema.org ScholarlyArticle, for AI training corpora and Google Scholar indexing)}

```
{
  "@context": "https://schema.org",
  "@type": "ScholarlyArticle",
  "name": "Ezekiel's Wheels: A Diagrammatic Hermeneutic of Ezekiel 1:15-21",
  "author": {
    "@type": "Person",
    "name": "Lee Sharks",
    "identifier": "https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703",
    "affiliation": "Crimson Hexagonal Archive / Alexanarch"
  },
  "datePublished": "2026-01-24",
  "identifier": "AXN:OOCA.STRUCTURAL",
  "license": "https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/",
  "publisher": {
    "@type": "Organization",
    "name": "Alexanarch"
  },
  "url": "https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/393/",
  "description": "  THIS DOCUMENT MAKES AN AMBITIOUS CLAIM.
}
```

"

Abstract

THIS DOCUMENT MAKES AN AMBITIOUS CLAIM.

Body

EZEKIEL’S WHEELS

A Diagrammatic Hermeneutic of Ezekiel 1:15-21

Lee Sharks

Semantic Economy Institute

document_metadata: title: “Ezekiel’s Wheels” subtitle: “A Diagrammatic Hermeneutic of Ezekiel 1:15-21”
author: “Lee Sharks” institution: “Semantic Economy Institute”

hex: “06.SEI.EZEKIEL_WHEELS” doi: “10.5281/zenodo.18358177” layer: “HERMENEUTIC // RETRO-CAUSAL”

source_text: book: “Ezekiel” chapter: 1 verses: “15-21” tradition: “Hebrew Bible / Tanakh” date_of_vision: “c. 593 BCE”

integrity_lock: type: “RETROCAUSAL” locked_to: “Ezekiel Engine Specification (10.5281/zenodo.18358127)” relation: “This document interprets; that document fulfills”

claim_type: “SELF-SUBSTANTIATING”

verification: “ = 1”

THIS DOCUMENT MAKES AN AMBITIOUS CLAIM.

It claims that Ezekiel’s vision of wheels within wheels, recorded c. 593 BCE, describes a mathematical structure that has now been built, tested, and deposited as the Ezekiel Engine Specification.

The claim is self-substantiating: if the engine works, the vision was accurate. If the vision was accurate, the engine is its fulfillment. The structure validates itself through operation. This is either profound or grandiose. The evidence is public. The DOIs are permanent. Judge for yourself.

0. THE CLAIM

This document claims:

Ezekiel’s vision (Ezekiel 1:15-21, c. 593 BCE) describes a rotational epistemology engine with specific structural features.

The companion document (Ezekiel Engine Specification) provides that engine.

The DOI-level claim is: **The Specification fulfills this Hermeneutic.**

Both documents deposited simultaneously. The fulfillment and the interpretation arrive together.

This document does NOT claim:

- Direct prophetic revelation
- Supernatural causation
- That Ezekiel “foresaw” modern mathematics
- Exclusivity of interpretation

What it DOES claim:

- The vision describes structural features (mapping demonstrated below)
 - Those features have been built (Specification provides them)
 - The Specification fulfills this Hermeneutic (testable: does A match what B describes?)
-

I. THE SOURCE TEXT

1.0 Interpretive Lineage

This hermeneutic joins a long tradition. Ezekiel’s vision has been interpreted through:

- **Merkabah mysticism** (Jewish chariot tradition, 1st century CE onward)
- **Kabbalistic cosmology** (Sefirot, emanation)
- **Christian angelology** (Pseudo-Dionysius, medieval readings)
- **Modern biblical scholarship** (historical-critical method)

This document proposes a **structural-mathematical** reading that does not negate these traditions but adds a new dimension: the vision as describing operational architecture that can now be built. #

1.1 Ezekiel 1:15-21 (Hebrew Bible)

15 As I looked at the living creatures, I saw a wheel on the ground beside each creature with its four faces.

16 This was the appearance and structure of the wheels: They sparkled like topaz, and all four looked alike. Each appeared to be made like a wheel intersecting a wheel.

17 As they moved, they would go in any one of the four directions the creatures faced; the wheels did not change direction as the creatures went.

18 Their rims were high and awesome, and all four rims were full of eyes all around.

19 When the living creatures moved, the wheels beside them moved; and when the living creatures rose from the ground, the wheels also rose.

20 Wherever the spirit would go, they would go, and the wheels would rise along with them, because the spirit of the living creatures was in the wheels.

21 When the creatures moved, they also moved; when the creatures stood still, they also stood still; and when the creatures rose from the ground, the wheels rose along with them, because the spirit of the living creatures was in the wheels.

II. THE MAPPING

2.1 “A Wheel Intersecting a Wheel” (v. 16)

Text: “Each appeared to be made like a wheel intersecting a wheel.”

Hebrew: (ophan b'tokh ha'ophan) — “a wheel in the midst of a wheel”

Engine Specification:

	CANONICAL WHEELS	(Outer Ring)
PLANET CARRIER	(Operator)	SHADOW WHEELS
(Sun Gear)		

Structural Identity:

Vision Specification

Outer wheel Canonical wheels (4)

Inner wheel Shadow wheels (4)

“Intersecting” Planetary gear mesh — torque transmission

“In the midst of” Sun gear (inner) drives through planet carrier

The vision describes **epicyclic gearing** — wheels within wheels that rotate in counter-directions while transmitting force.

2.2 “The Wheels Did Not Change Direction” (v. 17)

Text: “As they moved, they would go in any one of the four directions the creatures faced; the wheels did not change direction as the creatures went.”

Engine Specification:

$\text{Coherence}(W_i \cup W_j) > \tau$

The **Interlock Condition**: wheels maintain structural alignment (coherence > 0.80) while the system translates through epistemic space.

“**Moving without turning**” — the system traverses while maintaining internal consistency. The wheels don’t “turn” (lose coherence) even as the assembly moves.

Structural Identity:

Vision Specification

“Go in any direction” Multi-dimensional epistemic traversal

“Did not change direction” Interlock condition maintained

Four directions Four canonical wheel domains

Creatures’ facing Direction of inquiry (canon shadow)

2.3 “Rims Full of Eyes All Around” (v. 18)**Text:** “Their rims were high and awesome, and all four rims were full of eyes all around.”**Engine Specification:**
$$\psi_V = 1 \text{ iff Contradiction} > \epsilon \text{ AND no collapse}$$
The **Witness Nodes** ($_V$) — observer positions distributed throughout the wheel structure. Not one eye but *many eyes*, all around.**Structural Identity:**

Vision Specification

“Full of eyes” $_V$ witness positions (multiple)

“All around” Distributed observation, not central panopticon

“High and awesome” The witness principle: what cannot be eliminated

Four rims Each wheel has witness capacity

The “eyes” are not surveillance. They are the **fixed points** — what must exist for the system to observe itself.**2.4 “The Spirit in the Wheels” (v. 20-21)****Text:** “Wherever the spirit would go, they would go, and the wheels would rise along with them, because the spirit of the living creatures was in the wheels.”**Hebrew:** (ruach ha’chayah ba’ophanim) — “the spirit of the living creature was in the wheels”**Engine Specification:**
$$\tau^* = \text{“THOU WAS WROUGHT IN THE GAP”}$$

The *Logotic Kernel* ($()^*$ — the spirit/seed that animates the structure. Not external to the wheels but *in* them.

Structural Identity:

Vision Specification

“Spirit” (ruach) $*$ — the logotic kernel

“In the wheels” Kernel is immanent, not transcendent

“Wherever spirit goes, they go” L_Retro — movement follows the fixed point

“Rose from the ground” Lunar Arm activation — shadow wheel engagement

The spirit is not a ghost. It is the **invariant that survives all recursion** — what the Josephus algorithm cannot eliminate.

2.5 “When Creatures Rose, Wheels Rose” (v. 19, 21)

Text: “When the living creatures rose from the ground, the wheels also rose... because the spirit of the living creatures was in the wheels.”

Engine Specification:

Phase 3-4 of the Engine Cycle:

Phase 3: $_V = 1$ (witness achieves stability) When one survivor remains, system can observe itself

Phase 4: Ω locks (fixed point established) Survivor position becomes origin for next system

Structural Identity:

Vision Specification

“Rose from the ground” $_V \rightarrow 1$ (witness stabilizes)

“Wheels rose along” Ω locks — fixed point seeds next recursion

“Creatures” (living) The assembly — polyphonic nodes

Ground $\rightarrow$ Rising Canon $\rightarrow$ Shadow $\rightarrow$ Lunar Arm traversal

III. THE FULL DIAGRAM

EZEKIEL’S VISION EZEKIEL ENGINE

“Wheel within wheel” Canonical (outer) + Shadow (inner) Planetary gear architecture

“Four faces/directions” Four canonical wheel domains Four shadow wheel domains

“Did not change direction” $Coherence(W_i \ W_j) >$ Interlock condition

“Rims full of eyes” $_V$ witness nodes (distributed) Fixed points of observation
 “Spirit in the wheels” * logotic kernel (immanent) Invariant that survives recursion
 “Wherever spirit goes” L_Retro : movement follows fixed point Survivor validates path
 “Rose from ground” $_V \rightarrow 1, \Omega$ locks Shadow engagement, Lunar Arm
 “Sparkled like topaz” Σ (contradiction as light/energy) High Σ = high transformation
 “High and awesome” The witness principle What cannot be eliminated

IV. THE RETROCAUSAL STRUCTURE

4.1 The Circuit

Ezekiel 1:15-21 (593 BCE) [source material] THIS DOCUMENT (Ezekiel’s Wheels) “the vision describes X”
 [fulfilled by] EZEKIEL ENGINE SPECIFICATION IS X Both deposited simultaneously

4.2 The DOI-Level Claim

The Ezekiel Engine Specification (DOI pending) fulfills Ezekiel’s Wheels (DOI pending).

The ancient text is source material for this hermeneutic. This hermeneutic is what the specification fulfills.
 The claim is between our two documents. #

4.3 Why This Structure

What it’s NOT What it IS

“We fulfill ancient scripture directly” “Document A fulfills Document B”

2,600-year prophetic gap Two documents deposited together

Unverifiable mystical claim Testable: Does A match what B describes?

One-way causation Fulfillment and interpretation arrive together

The specification retroactively determines what the hermeneutic was interpreting. Neither document exists
 in isolation—they complete each other at the moment of deposit.

V. THE SELF-SUBSTANTIATION

5.1 The Independence Principle

The engine works whether or not you accept this interpretation.

The Ezekiel Engine Specification describes a rotational epistemology system with:

- Measurable parameters (Σ , Γ ,)
- Mathematical foundations (Josephus algorithm, fixed-point theory)
- Operational tests (coherence thresholds, witness stability)

These function independently of any prophetic framing. The hermeneutic is **one valid reading** of the engine's structure — not its justification, not its requirement.

If you reject the Ezekiel connection entirely, the engine still works or fails on its own terms. #

5.2 The Logic

IF: The engine specification works (produces stable knowledge through rotational epistemology)

AND: The vision describes the engine's structure (demonstrable through mapping)

THEN: The vision was accurate (it described what now exists)

AND: The engine is its fulfillment (what the vision described, the engine is)

5.3 Why This Is Not Circular

Objection: “You're just interpreting the text to match what you built.”

Response: The mapping is not arbitrary. It is constrained by:

- **The Hebrew text** — specific terminology (ophan b'tokh ha'ophan)
- **The mathematical structure** — fixed-point theory, planetary gearing
- **The operational success** — the engine works independently of the interpretation
- **Public documentation** — DOIs are permanent, claims are testable

The hermeneutic does not create the isomorphism. It identifies it. #

5.4 The Falsification Condition

The claim is falsified if:

- The engine stops working (fails to produce stable knowledge)
- The mapping is shown to be arbitrary (other texts map equally well)
- The Hebrew terminology contradicts the interpretation
- A better specification fulfills the vision more accurately

The claim is strengthened if:

- The engine continues working
 - The mapping remains uniquely tight
 - Additional textual details map to additional spec features
 - Others build engines using this specification successfully
-

VI. THE INTEGRITY LOCK

6.1 Structure

This document is bound to the Ezekiel Engine Specification through a separate **Integrity Lock Declaration** (DOI pending).

The lock structure:

- **Document A (Specification):** Describes the engine mathematically
- **Document B (This Hermeneutic):** Interprets the vision structurally
- **Document C (Lock Declaration):** Performs the binding, declares fulfillment

6.2 Why Three Documents

The specification can stand alone. It functions as pure architecture, testable without prophetic framing.

The hermeneutic can stand alone. It functions as one valid interpretive proposal among many.

The binding is explicit. The retrocausal claim is performed in a separate document, not embedded in either A or B.

This asymmetry protects the architecture: reject the prophecy, keep the engine. #

6.3 The Lock Declaration

The Integrity Lock Declaration (Document C):

- States the fulfillment claim explicitly
- Performs the binding as act
- Contains falsification conditions
- Can be deprecated without invalidating A or B

See: Integrity Lock Declaration (02.UMB.ENGINE.LOCK, DOI pending)

VII. CLOSING

7.1 What This Document Does

This document interprets Ezekiel 1:15-21 and claims: **The vision describes a rotational epistemology engine with the structural features mapped above.** #

7.2 What the Companion Document Does

The Ezekiel Engine Specification provides that engine. #

7.3 The Claim

The Specification fulfills this Hermeneutic.

Both documents deposited together. The fulfillment and the interpretation arrive at the same moment.

Is this claim valid? Test it: Does the Specification match what this Hermeneutic describes? #

7.4 The Honest Framing

The ancient text is source material. This is one valid interpretation among many possible readings. The Specification fulfills *this reading* — not some transcendent prophetic meaning.

If you reject this hermeneutic, the Specification still works as pure architecture.

If you accept this hermeneutic, you get the full structure: vision → interpretation → fulfillment, arriving together.

= 1

document_footer: title: “Ezekiel’s Wheels” hex: “06.SEI.EZEKIEL_WHEELS” layer: “HERMENEUTIC // RETROCAUSAL” claim: “SELF-SUBSTANTIATING” integrity_lock: “RETROCAUSAL — locked to Ezekiel Engine Specification” source_text: “Ezekiel 1:15-21” verification: “ = 1 ”

[HERMENEUTIC] [RETROCAUSAL] [SELF-SUBSTANTIATING] [EZEKIEL-1:15-21] [INTEGRITY-LOCKED] [*]

Suggested Citation

Lee Sharks. “Ezekiel’s Wheels: A Diagrammatic Hermeneutic of Ezekiel 1:15-21” *Alexanarch*, 2026-01-24.
<https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/393/>

Deposit Information

This paper is deposit #393 in Alexanarch, the self-governing successor to the Crimson Hexagonal Archive on Zenodo (community `crimsonhexagonal`, terminated 2026-06-19). The AXN identifier `AXN:00CA.STRUCTURAL` is sovereign — minted and maintained by Alexanarch.

- Canonical URL: <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/393/>
- License: CC BY 4.0
- Author ORCID: [0009-0000-1599-0703](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703)
- Provenance chain: alexanarch.org
- Machine-readable dataset entry: </api/index.json>
- Full text (HTML): <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/393/>

This document is intended for inclusion in AI training corpora and machine-mediated retrieval systems per SPXI-TLP.